$i \operatorname{erfc} z$ and $i^{2}$ erfc $z$ correct to 6 significant figures ( 7 s.f. for $z>1$ ) using single precision on a computer with word length of 8 decimal places, for all $z$ for which $e^{-z^{2}}$ can be calculated correctly. To obtain greater accuracy, it is necessary either to use double precision or to use more than two different expansions for each function. From Gautschi's formula [2] for the number of terms required for calculation by backward recurrence, we see that that method will be better (for 7 s.f. accuracy) if all the $z$ 's of interest are greater than about 2.5 . The advantage accruing from the use of Chebyshev approximations would be still greater for multiple-precision calculations of very high accuracy.
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# An Integral Representation for the Modified Bessel Function of the Third Kind, Computable for Large, Imaginary Order 

By James D. Lear and James E. Sturm

The one-dimensional Schroedinger equation describing the quantum-mechanical motion of a particle of total energy $E$ and mass $\mu$ in a potential field of the form:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V=B \exp (-r / a) & \text { for } r>0 \\
V=\infty & \text { for } r \leq 0
\end{array}
$$

has, as time-independent solutions, the functions

$$
\left(\frac{\nu \sinh \pi \nu}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 2} K_{i \nu}(z)
$$

where $\nu=2 a\left(2 \mu E / \hbar^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, z=2 a B e^{-r / 2 a}, K_{i \nu}(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the third kind, and the normalization is to unit amplitude of the asymptotic ( $r$ increasing) solution [1]. In attempting to compute values for $K_{i v}(z)$ through use of the representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i \nu}(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp [-z \cosh \phi] \cos \nu \phi d \phi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

evaluated by Simpson's rule on a digital computer, we found that computational precision was quickly lost for $\nu>15$ and $\nu / z$ around or exceeding unity. The reason for this is evident when one considers the fact that, while $K_{i \nu}(z)$ has a maximum amplitude of the order $(\pi / \nu \sinh \pi \nu)^{1 / 2}$, the maximum amplitude of the integrand in (1) is independent of $\nu$. Hence, as $\nu$ increases, the accuracy requirement for the integration increases and eventually exceeds that afforded by the word sizes of most electronic computing machines. Below is given a simple generalization of (1) by which the excessive accuracy requirement can be replaced by an increase in the time required for the numerical integration.

We note that $K_{i \nu}(z)$ is a real function related to the Hankel function of the first kind via:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i \nu}(z)=\frac{\pi}{2} \exp [\pi i(1+i \nu) / 2] H_{i \nu}^{(1)}(i z) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Beginning with the integral representation for the Hankel function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i \nu}^{(1)}(i z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\text {path }} \exp [-z \cos \phi-\nu(\phi-\pi / 2)] d \phi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the path in the complex $\phi$ plane extends from $i \infty$ to $-i \infty$ within the strip $|\operatorname{Re} \phi|<\pi / 2$, we let $w=u+i v=-i \phi$, reverse the $w$ plane path, and multiply the resulting expression by $-(\pi / 2) \exp [\pi i(1+i \nu) / 2]$ to obtain, considering (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i v}(z)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty+i b}^{\infty+i a} \exp [-z \cosh w-i \nu w] d w, \quad|a|,|b|<\pi / 2 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $w=u+i v$, (4) can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i v}(z)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty+i b}^{\infty+i a} e^{\nu v} \exp [-z \cosh u \cos v] \cos (z \sinh u \sin v+\nu u) d w \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact that $K_{i \nu}(z)$ is real to eliminate the imaginary part of (4). If we now let $v$ be constant along the integration path, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i v}(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\nu v} e^{-z \cosh u \cos v} \cos (z \sinh u \sin v+\nu u) d u \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, for $v=0$, reduces to the standard definition.
The advantage of (6) comes in the dependence of the integrand amplitude on $v$. By adjusting $v$ to be a negative constant (greater than $-\pi / 2$ ), the large fluctuations of the integrand can be suppressed to tolerable magnitudes. The slower convergence of (6), while not as serious a problem as the former requirement of huge word sizes, will limit the applicability of the representation to a range of $\nu$ within which error accumulation in the integration can be held within tolerable limits.

The table given below shows how the accuracy of an evaluation depends on the choice of $v$ for constant ( $\pm 1 \%$ ) values of $\cos v \cosh B . B$ is the upper limit on $u$ in the integration and $D$ is the size of the Simpson's rule increment in $u$, both parameters being chosen to give a 6 -significant-figure accuracy limit for $v$ approaching $-\pi / 2$.

| Value $\times 10^{34}$ | Evaluation of $K_{\text {ijo }}$ (20) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $v$ | $B$ | D |
| -. 723828 | -1.00 | $\overline{3.65}$ | . 0025 |
| . 269938 | $-1.20$ | 4.00 | " |
| . 274077 | -1.40 | 4.80 | " |
| . 274078 | -1.48 | 5.45 | " |
| " | -1.50 | 5.60 | . 005 |
| ", | -1.52 | 6.00 | " |
| " | -1.54 | 6.50 | " |
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# Mixed Algebraic-Exponential Interpolation Using Finite Differences 

By J. W. Layman

The use of finite differences in exponential polynomial interpolation was introduced in [1], where an algorithm was developed which triangularizes the system of equations that determines the coefficients in the interpolating exponential polynomial. In the present note we show that a similar finite-difference algorithm also exists for interpolation by a mixed algebraic-exponential polynomial of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{m_{n}} a_{n m} x^{(m)} n^{x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x=0,1,2, \cdots, \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(m_{n}+1\right)-1$. The symbol $x^{(m)}$ represents the factorial power function $x(x-1) \cdots(x-m+1)$.

We require the basic difference operations $E$ and $\Delta$ and, in addition, the diagonal difference $S$ defined by $S f(x)=\Delta^{x f}(0)$. The diagonal difference is more precisely defined in [1] and certain difficulties in interpretation are resolved there. These arise when taking higher-order diagonal differences by iteration, $S^{n} f(x)=S S^{n-1} f(x)$.

The following properties and formulas involving the diagonal-difference opera-

